

VZCZCXRO6713  
PP RUEHBI RUEHCI RUEHNEH  
DE RUEHNE #1834/01 2451027  
ZNR UUUUU ZZH  
P 021027Z SEP 09  
FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI  
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 7896  
INFO RUCPDO/USDOC WASHDC  
RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC  
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC  
RHHJJPI/PACOM IDHS HONOLULU HI  
RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC  
RUEHLM/AMEMBASSY COLOMBO 1964  
RUEHCG/AMCONSUL CHENNAI 5067  
RUEHCI/AMCONSUL KOLKATA 4288  
RUEHNEH/AMCONSUL HYDERABAD 0920  
RUEHKA/AMEMBASSY DHAKA 2357  
RUEHIL/AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD 6283  
RUEHKT/AMEMBASSY KATHMANDU 2805  
RUEHKP/AMCONSUL KARACHI 9677  
RUEHBI/AMCONSUL MUMBAI 4106  
RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON 6761  
RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK 8428  
RHOVVKG/COMSEVENTHFLT  
RHMCSUU/HQ USCENCOM MACDILL AFB FL

UNCLAS SECTION 01 OF 02 NEW DELHI 001834

SIPDIS

STATE FOR NP, AC, PM

STATE FOR INR/MR

STATE FOR SCA/INS, PM/CBM, PM/PRO

STATE FOR SCA/PPD, PA/RRU

STATE FOR AID/APRE-A

USDOC FOR 4530/IEP/ANESA/OSA FOR BILL MURPHY

E.O. 12958:N/A

TAGS: [KMDR](#) [KPAO](#) [PGOV](#) [PREL](#) [IN](#)

SUBJECT: MEDIA REACTION: AL-QAEDA, PAKISTAN / WEAPON  
MODIFICATION, INDIA AFGHANISTAN-PAKISTAN STRATEGY; NEW  
DELHI.

This countrywide cable reports on relevant media  
reaction/opinion from India's large non-English press.  
The Mission reports on English-language media via email  
through the daily "Early Edition" summary.

-----  
AL-QAEDA  
-----

¶1. "FIRST SUICIDE ATTACK IN SAUDI ARABIA," editorial in  
September 1 right-of-center Urdu daily, SIASAT: "Anti-  
terrorism initiatives taken by Saudi Arabia have  
increased al-Qaeda threats to this royal state. An  
attempt to assassinate Prince Mohammad bin Naif is a  
matter of serious concern for the Royal family, because  
he is active against anti-al-Qaeda activities in Saudi  
Arabia. Instead of appeasing the US in matters of anti-  
terrorism actions, Saudi Arabia should take care of its  
own internal security, because the first suicide attack  
in Saudi Arabia is a symbol of danger ahead."

¶2. "SAUDI ROYAL FAMILY TOO IS THE TARGET OF TERRORISM,"  
editorial in September 1 right-of-center Urdu daily,  
ETEMAAD: "After an attack on Prince Mohammad Naif, it  
would be a misunderstanding to think that the present  
policy is fruitful. In this perspective, American  
policy has significantly influenced the day-to-day  
happenings. Barack Obama's assurance of 'justice' to  
Muslims has not been translated into action as yet. Had  
the USA implemented its policies vis-`-vis the Muslims

sincerely, the policy to eradicate al-Qaeda would have been fruitful."

-----  
PAKISTAN / WEAPON MODIFICATION  
-----

¶3. "PAKISTAN'S GAMES: WILL OBAMA STOP AID TO PAKISTAN?" editorial in September 2 centrist Marathi daily, NAVSHAKTI: "While the members of the U.S. Congress know for a fact that Pakistan has often siphoned off American military assistance for anti-India military initiatives, it is now to be seen if this knowledge results in a change in the Obama administration's decision to grant further military aid to Pakistan. Even as the U.S. Congress is currently considering the proposals to spend billions of U.S. dollars over the next five years to train and equip Pakistan's military for counterinsurgency warfare, is it also not the right time to see if this aid is being really used to fight insurgency and terrorism? Or is the U.S. aid being directed at building a huge nuclear arsenal in Pakistan?"

¶4. "CAUTION REQUIRED," editorial in September 02, Bangalore-based left-of-center Kannada daily, PRAJAVANI: "The United States, which has resolved to eliminate the Taliban, believes that Pakistan alone can perform this task. Pakistan is modifying the weapons supplied to it by the U.S. to fight the Taliban in such

NEW DELHI 00001834 002 OF 002

a way that they can be used against India. Pakistan has agreed for an inspection by the U.S. authorities, though, in reality, it is mere farce. India should pressure the U.S. to see to it that no unconditional military assistance is provided to Pakistan."

¶5. "DECEPTIVE PAKISTAN," editorial in September 1 nationalist Hindi daily, RASHTRIYA SAHARA: "The US has accused Pakistan of illegally modifying US-made missiles to target land-based targets in a potential threat to India. The Pakistani establishment is always under pressure to go after India. Pakistan's rulers perpetually face three big problems - one, how to keep the fire burning against India; two, to keep its control over Afghanistan; and finally to make sure its begging bowl is full of alms from the United States and China. One can understand the strategic reasons behind the U.S. giving military and financial assistance to Pakistan. But why can't the U.S. put in place a stringent mechanism to check that its assistance is not being misused?"

¶6. "PROOF OF BAD INTENTION," editorial in September 1 Hindi daily, DAINIK JAGRAN: "India should not keep quiet regardless of the U.S. reaction to Pakistan's illegal missile modification. It is evident that Pakistan is misusing the U.S. aid against India rather than fighting against terrorism, but the West, especially the U.S., prefers to silently overlook it. The Obama Administration is also following the policy to keep Pakistan happy at any cost. This is the height of the U.S. blind love for Pakistan. When will our strategists clarify to the U.S. that its Pakistan policy is extremely dangerous for India?"

-----  
AFGHANISTAN-PAKISTAN STRATEGY  
-----

¶7. "THIS TIME BALUCHISTAN," editorial in July 24 independent Kolkata Bengali daily, ANANDABAZAR PATRIKA: "There is no more time to play with fire. India complains, Pakistan denies and Pakistan complains, India denies. In the midst of this, terrorism

continues. Islamabad, by any means, must be compelled to make a commitment to curb terrorism. India should remain steadfast in following diplomatic norms and avoid unnecessary arguments, no matter what the criticism is."

ROEMER